

United States Patent and Trademark Office

An

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/033,583	12/20/2001	Masayuki Kawata	S004-4508	9180	
7590 12/17/2003			EXAMINER		
ADAMS & W		MONDT, JOHANNES P			
ATTORNEYS 31st FLOOR	AND COUNSELORS A	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
50 BROADWA	ΛY	2826			
NEW YORK,	NY 10004	DATE MAILED: 12/17/200.	3		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/03	3,583	KAWATA, MASA	KAWATA, MASAYUKI		
		Exami	ner	Art Unit			
			nes P Mondt	2826			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this common or Reply	unication appears on	the cover sheet	with the correspondence a	ddress		
THE - Externation - If the - If NO - Failt - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU insions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this coeperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty of period for reply is specified above, the maximum ure to reply within the set or extended period for rereply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION. ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nommunication. (30) days, a reply within the a statutory period will apply are ply will, by statute, cause the as after the mailing date of thi	o event, however, may statutory minimum of the ad will expire SIX (6) Mo application to become	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this of ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) f	filed on <u>06 October 2</u>	<u>2003</u> .				
2a) <u></u>	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This action is	s non-final.				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-16 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16 is/are Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to rest	withdrawn from cor					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/ar	re: a) accepted or	r b)⊡ objected t	o by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any ob-	=					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi	_					
	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.	. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form P	10-152.		
•	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a cla All b) Some color None of Certified copies of the priori Certified copies of the priori Copies of the certified copie application from the Interna See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included to the complete of the priori Topies of the certified copies application from the Interna See the attached detailed Office act Acknowledgment is made of a claim ince a specific reference was included in the first see the complete of the complet	ty documents have to the documents have to the priority documents have to the priority documents and the conformal Bureau (PCT) to for a list of the conformal for domestic priority ded in the first senter anguage provisional for domestic priority for domestic priority	peen received. Deen received in Deen rec	Application No en received in this National of received. C. § 119(e) (to a provisional cation or in an Application been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet. e a specific		
Attachmer	at(s)						
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)			v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No f Informal Patent Application (PT			

Application/Control Number: 10/033,583 Page 2

Art Unit: 2826

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the Group I invention, i.e., claims 1-15, in the Response filed 10/06/2003 is acknowledged.

Priority

2. Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority based on applications filed in Japan on 12/21/2000 and 12/06/2001. It is noted, however, that applicant has not filed certified copies of the applications as required by 35 U.S.C. 119(b).

Information Disclosure Statement

The examiner has considered the items listed on the Information Disclosure Statement filed 07/08/2002. Please find a signed copy of Form PTO-1449 enclosed with this official action.

Claim Objections

3. Claims 4-6 are objected to because of the following informalities: "with being curved" (line 3 of claim 4, line 3 of claim 5, line 3 of claim 6) should be replaced by "in a curved state". Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,583 Page 3

Art Unit: 2826

4. Claims 10-15 are objected to because of the following informalities: "attachment part" (line 7 of claim 10, line 7 of claim 11, line 7 of claim 12, line 7 of claim 13, line 7 of claim 14, line 7 of claim 15) should be replaced by "attachment portion". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In particular, with reference to the Academic Press Dictionary of Science and Technology, Ed. C. Morris, Academic Press (New York 1992) the limitation "with a curvature axis as the vertex" (lines 7 of claims 1 and 2, respectively) is indefinite: "vertex" is defined (outside the fields of graph theory and celestial mechanics) as: (a) the point at which two lines or rays intersect to form an angle, (b) a point at which two sides of a polygon meet or at which three or more faces of a polyhedron intersect, (c) a point on a curve at which the curvature has a maximum or minimum, (d) the point at which the lines generating a conic surface intersect. Of the aforementioned meanings (a) through (d), (a) is indefinite in the underlying case because the two lines are undefined, (b) is indefinite because the polygon as well as the two sides of said polygon are undefined, (c) is impossible, because the curvature axis

Art Unit: 2826

cannot lie on the curve itself, and (d) is indefinite because a conic surface has not been defined.

- 7. Claims 2, 5, 8, 11 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The said straight line portion is part of the film liquid crystal device as claimed, because of lines 2-10; however, according to lines 10-12 said straight line portion is not part of the film liquid crystal device, instead the film liquid crystal device being connected to said straight line portion. Therefore, the straight line portion is both part and not part of the film liquid crystal device, rendering claim 2, and dependent claims 5, 8, 11 and 14 indefinite.
- 8. Claims 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In particular, the wording "wherein the first holding member holds the film liquid crystal device with the being curved by a stepped portion" leaves indefinite what it is that is "being curved".
- 9. Claims 4-6 recite the limitation "the curved surface of the film liquid crystal device" in lines 5-6 of claim 4, lines 5-6 of claim 5, lines 5-6 of claim 6. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 10. Claims 10-15 recite the limitation "the curved surface" in line 3 of claim 10, line 3 of claim 11, line 3 of claim 12, line 3 of claim 13, line 3 of claim 14 and line 3 of claim 15. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Application/Control Number: 10/033,583 Page 5

Art Unit: 2826

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States

12. **Claims 1, 3, 4 and 6** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wakita et al (5,307,190). In spite of the aforementioned claim rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, the following art rejection must be made based on the definite portion of the claim. Wakita et al teach (cf. Figures 3, 5a, and 5b):

a film liquid crystal device (liquid crystal 11 between upper and lower substrates 5/6 and 7/5/6, respectively; cf. col. 7, lines 1-13) in which crystal is injected (injection being described as letting the liquid crystal 11 drip on a UV-curable sealant 10 (cf. col. 7, lines 14-18) into a space defined by flexible substrates (both substrates 7 (resin plastic film) and 6 (alignment film formed of serum albimum) are flexible, see also col.6, l. 51-54, where it is stated that *at least* one, hence also possibly two flexible substrates are disclosed), respectively; cf. col. 7, l. 1-13) (space between sealants 31 in Figure 3, e.g., or between sealants 10 in Figures 5a and 5b, e.g.), and an injection port for the liquid crystal is sealed (by sealants 10 in Figures 5a, 5b, e.g., and by sealants 31 in Figure 3, e.g.);

arc portions (located at the central portion of the liquid crystal device as depicted in Figure 3, one central arc portion having curvature with a curvature axis located above

said film liquid crystal, the other one consisting of two portions having curvature of the opposite orientation, namely: with the curvature axis located below said film liquid device) in each of which the cross section of the film liquid crystal device has a curvature, i.e., when a part of said film liquid device is curved (in fact, it is curved along said arc portions);

a straight line portion in which the cross section of the film liquid crystal device does not have a curvature (at both ends of said film liquid device by virtue of curvature being defined as the second order derivative of the tangent along the curve with respect to the arc length, said second order derivative not being defined at said ends in Figure 3, as well as in at least two central portions by virtue of Rolle's theorem, because the curvature changes sign between the oppositely curved portions and hence must be zero in between said oppositely curved portions); and

a sealing portion (10 in Figures 5a, 5b, and 31 in Figure 3) formed in the straight line portion for sealing the injection port, being sealed (cf. col. 5, line 66 – col. 6, line 13).

In summary, Wakita et al anticipate claim 1.

On claim 3: the apparatus of claim 1 according to Wakita et al further comprises a connection terminal portion (overhang portion of glass substrate 3 in Figures 5a, 5b) to which the film liquid crystal device is connected, and wherein the connection terminal portion is provided in the straight line portion (said straight line portion being the end portion, see the rejection of claim 1 under 102(b)).

Art Unit: 2826

On claim 4 and 6: the apparatus of claim 1 or claim 3 according to Wakita et al further comprises a first holding member (non-overhang portion of glass substrate 3; cf. col. 7, l. 1-5) and a second holding member 4 (cf. col. 7, l. 1-5) for holding the film liquid crystal device curved, and at least two or more engagement portions 9 (cf. col. 7, l. 17-21) each of which is located in the associated top portions of the curved surface of the film liquid crystal device, carrying out engagement against the first holding member 3 (by being in pressure contact with the said first holding member through layers 4, 5 and 6; see Figure 5b), and which are provided in the associated top portions of the curved surface.

13. *Claims 2 and 5* are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wakita et al (5,307,190). In spite of the aforementioned claim rejections under 35 USC 112, second paragraph, the following art rejection must be made based on the definite portion of the claim. Wakita et al teach (cf. Figures 3, 5a, and 5b):

a film liquid crystal device (liquid crystal 11 between upper and lower substrates 5/6 and 7/5/6, respectively; cf. col. 7, lines 1-13) in which crystal is injected (injection being described as letting the liquid crystal 11 drip on a UV-curable sealant 10 (cf. col. 7, lines 14-18) into a space defined by flexible substrates (both substrates 7 (resin plastic film) and 6 (alignment film formed of serum albimum) are flexible, see also col.6, l. 51-54, where it is stated that *at least* one, hence either one or two flexible substrates are disclosed), respectively; cf. col. 7, l. 1-13) (space between sealants 31 in Figure 3, e.g., or between sealants 10 in Figures 5a and 5b, e.g.), and an injection port for the

liquid crystal is sealed (by sealants 10 in Figures 5a, 5b, e.g., and by sealants 31 in Figure 3, e.g.);

arc portions (located at the central portion of the liquid crystal device as depicted in Figure 3, one central arc portion having curvature with a curvature axis located above said film liquid crystal, the other one consisting of two portions having curvature of the opposite orientation, namely: with the curvature axis located below said film liquid device) in each of which the cross section of the film liquid crystal device has a curvature, i.e., when a part of said film liquid device is curved (in fact, it is curved along said arc portions);

a straight line portion in which the cross section of the film liquid crystal device does not have a curvature (at both ends of said film liquid device by virtue of curvature being defined as the second order derivative of the tangent along the curve with respect to the arc length, said second order derivative not being defined at said ends in Figure 3, as well as in at least two central portions by virtue of Rolle's theorem, because the curvature changes sign between the oppositely curved portions and hence must be zero in between said oppositely curved portions); and

a connection terminal portion (overhang portion of substrate 1 in Figures 5a, 5b) connected to the straight line portion of the film liquid crystal device.

If, in contrast with the implication of the first portion (lines 1-10) of claim 2 the straight line portion would not be an integral part of the film liquid crystal (see, however, the rejection of claims 2 and dependent claims under 35 USC 112, second paragraph),

Art Unit: 2826

said connection terminal portion could indeed have been said to be configured so that through it the film liquid crystal device is connected to said straight line portion.

On claim 5: the apparatus of claim 2 according to Wakita et al further comprises a first holding member (non-overhang portion of glass substrate 3; cf. col. 7, l. 1-5) and a second holding member 4 (cf. col. 7, l. 1-5) for holding the film liquid crystal device curved, and at least two or more engagement portions 9 (cf. col. 7, l. 17-21) each of which is located in the associated top portions of the curved surface of the film liquid crystal device, carrying out engagement against the first holding member 3, and which are provided in the associated top portions of the curved surface.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Johannes P Mondt whose telephone number is 703-306-0531. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 18:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan J Flynn can be reached on 703-308-6601. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-7722.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

JPM

December 13, 2003